# AUDIT AND ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE 21 APRIL 2021

## STRATEGIC RISK MANAGEMENT – UPDATE OF THE STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER

#### 1.0 Purpose of Report

1.1 To provide an update to members on the status of the Council's 2021/22Strategic Risk Register.

#### 2.0 Background

- 2.1 A strategic risk management report was presented to the November 2020 Audit and Accounts Committee. This report highlighted the current strategic risk register but also acknowledged that due to the unprecedented demands of the COVID 19 pandemic there had been a delay in reviewing and producing a new strategic risk register.
- 2.2 A new register was in the early stages of production but was not ready for presentation at the November committee cycle.
- 2.3 A proposed and agreed action within the above report was to provide this committee with a copy of the revised strategic risk register for consideration.

#### 3.0 Strategic Risk Review

- 3.1 A strategic risk workshop was undertaken with SLT in late November 2020. This facilitated workshop evaluated all existing strategic risks and identified/debated emerging risks for the forth coming year.
- 3.2 Following this workshop a draft strategic risk register was produced and presented to SLT in January 2021.
- 3.3 The following table illustrates the significant changes agreed by SLT to the 2021/22 strategic risk register.

<b>RETAINED STRATEGIC R</b>	RETAINED STRATEGIC RISKS			
Title	Description Owner			
Financial Sustainability - General Fund	Ensuring financial sustainability of the general fund to allow the council to undertake its core functions, deliver services, meet its corporate priorities and objectives.	Sanjiv Kohli		
Financial Sustainability - HRA	Financial sustainability of the HRA to ensure the council is able to provide, maintain and develop its housing stock.	Sanjiv Kohli		
Safeguarding	Preventing failures within safeguarding arrangements to ensure protection of vulnerable persons.	Matthew Finch		

	1	1
	<ul> <li>It was agreed that the current description did not reflect the true risk. It was therefore agreed to change it to the following:</li> <li>Maintaining corporate safeguarding arrangements to ensure suitable and appropriate management of the councils safeguarding duties.</li> </ul>	
Failure to Deliver Growth Infrastructure	Facilitating the provision of local infrastructure to ensure growth within the district to meet agreed plans & corporate priorities.	Matthew Lamb
Contract/supply failure	Managing contracts with key suppliers, including NSDC wholly own companies, to ensure the continued delivery of an effective service and ensure delivery of the council's priorities and objectives.	Deborah Johnson
Workforce	Ensuring the council is able to recruit, maintain and retain appropriate staffing resource to ensure it is able to deliver its services and meet its corporate objectives.	Karen White
Emergency Response	The Council's ability to effectively respond as a category 1 responder to a major emergency and maintain a suitable response without affecting essential service delivery.	Matthew Finch
Corporate Governance	Risk of failure in systems of governance within the council, council owned/influenced organisations and partnerships or other collaborative arrangements.	Karen White
Data Management and Security	Deliberate or unintentional loss/disclosure of personal, sensitive, confidential, business critical information or breach of information governance legislation.	Sanjiv Kohli
Arkwood Development	Managing performance and the relationship between the Council and Arkwood Developments in accordance with the governance agreement.	Karen White
BREXIT	<ul> <li>Effects of BREXIT on the council's ability to deliver services and to the sustainability of its communities.</li> <li>Review required - To review and consider need and scope of this risk.</li> <li>It was agreed that the current risk does not remain relevant. Effects are likely to be</li> </ul>	Matthew Finch

	socio/ecomonic. Many c new community risk.		
NEW STRATEGIC RISH	(S		
Title	Description		Owner
Community Issues - Pandemic	Immediate and longer term econ impact of global pandemic on NS business economy – specifically deprivation, direct effect on spe communities/vulnerable person	Matthew Finch Or Suzanne Shead	
Environment	Ability to meet requirements of agenda and aspirations/expecta community in delivering a green service.	Matthew Finch	
Statutory Compliance Management	Implementation and maintenance of suitable statutory safety compliance management systems.		Sanjiv Kohli and Suzanne
Active4Today	COVID 19 impact - Financial risk/ and future provision of leisure o	Shead Suzanne Shead	
DELETED STRATEGIC	RISKS		
Title	Description	Notes	
Loss of Community Cohesion	The risk of vulnerable communities with NSDC feeling disengaged, excluded or being unable to access available services and opportunities.	This risk had been on the register for a number of years. It was felt that whilst it was important to acknowledge these issues it had been shown over that period not to be a significant risk. It was agreed that the new risks to community are linked to issues relating to the current pandemic and its effects. Therefore agreed to be replaced with "community issues-pandemic"	

## 4.0 <u>Strategic Risk Register 2021 - Development and Review</u>

4.1 The table below illustrates the current status of all current strategic risks. Individual groups are working through the risks to develop bespoke action plans and reviewing the current risks as well as setting target risks to identify the potential for lowering the risks once the actions are delivered and management controls put in place.

Title	Status	Comments
Financial Sustainability – General Fund		Reviewed and up to date.
Financial Sustainability - HRA		• Review underway. Awaiting comments before review can be completed.
Safeguarding		Review meeting booked
Failure to deliver growth infrastructure		Reviewed and up to date.
Contract/supply failure		• Review underway. Awaiting comments before review can be completed.
Workforce		Reviewed and up to date.
Emergency Response		Review meeting booked
Corporate Governance		Reviewed and up to date.
Data Management and Security		Reviewed and up to date.
Arkwood Development		Review underway. Awaiting comments before review can be completed.
Brexit		Review meeting booked
Community issues - Pandemic		Meeting booked with risk owner to develop this new risk
Environment		Meeting booked with risk owner to develop this new risk
Regulatory and statutory compliance management		• Review underway. Awaiting comments before review can be completed.
SR116 Active4Today		Review meeting booked

4.2 We have been unable to undertake development and review of all risks at the point of producing this report. It is envisaged that this will be completed by the end of April 2021.

# 5.0 <u>Proposals</u>

5.1 It is proposed that the strategic risk register will be reviewed with a view to producing new register in April 2022. The risks will also be reviewed in line with any changes to the Community Plan.

# 6.0 Equalities Implications

6.1 There are no specific equalities implications arising from the details set out within this report. Where appropriate equalities are considered as part of the risk management process and where appropriate these are noted against the relevant risk and mitigation put in place to manage the risk along with any other risks identified.

### 7.0 Financial Implications

7.1 None arising from this report.

### 8.0 Digital Implications

8.1 None arising from this report.

### 9.0 <u>RECOMMENDATION</u>

Members of the Committee are asked to note the new strategic risk register and to agree the timescale for reviewing the strategic risk register. That is that the register will be reviewed prior to April 2022.

#### **Reason for Recommendations**

To update the Committee on the Council's update on the status of the Council's strategic risk register.

### **Background Papers**

Nil.

For further information please contact Richard Bates – Safety and Risk Management Officer on extension 5593.

Matt Finch Director – Communities and Environment

## Appendix 1 Current Strategic Risk Register

Code & Title	SR101 Financial sustainability - General Fund		Owner	Kohli, Sanjiv (SLT)	
Description	Ensuring financial sustainability of the general fund to allow the council to undertake its core functions, deliver services, meet its corporate priorities and objectives		Assigned To	Wilson, Nick (BM – FIN)	
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Reviewed Date	Target Date	Management
Likelihood Impact	po elle Impact	Like File	13-Aug-2020	31-Mar-2020	Controlled

Controls/Actions	Quarterly Capital monitoring meetings
In Place	Investments approved in line with the annually agreed Treasury Management Strategy
	Annual refresh of Medium Term Financial Plan including management of reserves
	Council approved Capital programme
	Financial implications added to Committee reports by Financial Services
	Financial strategies and budget reviewed through Policy and Finance Committee annually
	Use of external Medium Term Financial Plan tool which assists with forecasting future Business
	Rates income
	Assigned project manager for each major project the Council is embarking on
	Corporate Projects Team established to identify business opportunities in service areas
	Director/Business Unit Manager quarterly meetings reviewing Directorate financial position
	Approved Commercial strategy to support objectives set out in the MTFP
	Approved Investment Plan to support the objectives set out in the Commercial Strategy
	Nottinghamshire Business Rates Pool mitigating large impacts of reductions in Business Rates.
	This is kept under review by Nottinghamshire \$151 officers
	Quarterly budget monitoring report tabled at SLT and Policy and Finance Committee
	Annual Financial Regulations training in place
	Government covering 72% of income lost due to COVID19
	Allocation of £1.483m in additional funding from the £3.3bn Central Government Fund
	Working with SDCT, DC and LGA to acquire additional income support
	Government returns completed identifying direst cost of COVID 19 and losses incurred
	Sharing of information/comparison with LRF COVID finance Cell. Also joint lobbying with the LRF
	cell for leisure centre income losses to be covered.
	Monitoring and forecasting of COVID related losses.
	Weekly COVID 19 Recovery Group updates to identify additional costs and allocation of costs
Vulnerability	Financial
	Meeting corporate objectives
	Service delivery
	Reputation

	Governance
	Compliance
Trigger/Event	Unforeseen rise in interest rates over forecasted levels
	Changes in national policy eg. fair funding review, change to government political parties
	Banking crisis
	Over reliance and poor decision making on investments
	Member priorities diverging from corporate priorities
	Increase CPI/RPI figures
	Failure of subsidiaries
	Major contract failure
	Failure of HRA
	Reduction in Business Rates 2.
	Poor decision making and business planning
	Budgeted income levels not meeting target
	Impact on promised funding not as expected
	Change in significant income/funding
	Failure in compliance/ governance
	Fraud
	Global Pandemic
Impact	Inability to deliver services
	Inability to meet corporate priorities
	Inability to meet legislative requirements
	External auditors review
	Government taskforce
	Negative media/reputation
	Loss of ability to make local decisions
	Division between members and officers
	Greater division between political parties
	Staff morale and loss of key staff
	Fines/ enforcement
	S151 officer issues S114 notice
	GF fails due to third party failure, i.e. HRA/subsidiaries
	Impact on residents and communities

Code & Title	SR102 Financial sustainability – HRA		Owner	Kohli, Sanjiv (SLT)	
Description	Financial sustainability of the HRA to ensure the council is able to provide, maintain and develop its housing stock.		Assigned To	Wilson, Nick (BM – FIN)	
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Reviewed Date	Target Date	Management
Likelihood Impact	bo ulia ixi Impact	Cikelihood Cikelihood Impact	13-Aug-2020	31-Mar-2020	Control Pending

Controls/Actions In Place	Controls copied from General Fund Financial Sustainablilty Risk – NW to review Quarterly Capital monitoring meetings
	Investments approved in line with the annually agreed Treasury Management Strategy Annual refresh of MTFP including management of reserves Council approved Capital programme
	Financial implications added to Committee reports by Financial Services
	Financial strategies and budget reviewed through Policy and Finance Committee annually
	Use of external MTFP tool which assists with forecasting future Business Rates income
	Assigned project manager for each major project the Council is embarking on
	Corporate Projects Team established to identify business opportunities in service areas
	Director/Business Unit Manager quarterly meetings reviewing Directorate financial position
	Approved Commercial strategy to support objectives set out in the MTFP
	Approved Investment Plan to support the objectives set out in the Commercial Strategy
	Nottinghamshire Business Rates Pool mitigating large impacts of reductions in Business Rates.
	This is kept under review by Nottinghamshire S151 officers Quarterly budget monitoring report tabled at SLT and Policy and Finance Committee
	Annual Financial Regulations training in place
Vulnerability	Financial
vumerability	Meeting corporate objectives
	Service delivery
	Reputation
	Governance
	Regulation and compliance
Trigger/Event	Change in national policy
	Increase in interest rates
	Increased rent arrears
	Increased voids
	Suitability of stock meeting future standards
	Increase or change in standards required
	Current stock does not meeting housing needs Workforce issues
	Housing management review and implementation
	Failing to ensure compliance with relevant legislation causing regulatory bodies to intervene
	Noncompliance with rent standard

	Meeting tenant priorities
	Ineffective strategic decision making and business planning
	Key HRA major projects failure
	Ineffective management of housing maintenance function
	Loss of critical income streams
	Fraud
	Failure to manage critical income streams/ invest
	Global Pandemic
	Supplier/contractor cost increases due to COVID 19 demand/supply issues
Impact	Inability to maintain stock to acceptable level including development of future stock
	Budget unable to address changes in national policy
	Reprioritisation of service delivery including resource delivery
	Cash reserves used to right off rent arrears and voids
	Substandard housing stock
	Loss of morale and high staff turnover
	Fines, notices, court cases and legal fees
	Moratorium of services
	Dissatisfaction with service delivery
	Greater scrutiny on service slowing decision making
	Poor local housing policy
	Project failure
	Contract disputes
	S151 officer issues S114 notice
	Failure to service debt
	Legislative requirements not met
	Impact on residents and tenants
	•

Code & Title	SR103 Safeguarding		Owner	Finch, Matthew (SLT)	
Description	Preventing failures within safeguarding arrangements to ensure protection of vulnerable persons		Assigned To	Batty, Alan (BM – PPR)	
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Reviewed Date	Target Date	Management
Likelihood	Likelihood	impact	27-Jul-2020	31-Mar-2020	Controlled

Controls/Actions	LEAD OFFICER: Director of Communities & Environment – M Finch
In Place	
	Countywide safeguarding policy adopted – and reviewed / updated March 2018
	Disciplinary policy
	Annual Review and Update of the Council's Safeguarding Policy
	Key Staff already undertaken E-Learning
	BMs and safeguarding specific training
	PREVENT introduction to safeguarding training rolled out to all staff
	Staff Induction training organised
	Member training
	Officer represented on the Notts Safeguarding Children's Board and Notts Safeguarding Adults
	Local procedures in place
	Corporate safeguarding group meet quarterly
	Safeguarding Support Officers in place
	Shared safeguarding email
	Annual completion of Section 11 return
	Annual review and report to SLT
	DBS notification process
	Internal Audit
	Notts wide network for referring information relating to PREVENT
	Participating in serious case reviews as required
	Learning from serious case reviews
	Annual assurance report to committee with exception reporting if require
	Undertake domestic homicide reviews as directed by CSP
	RECENTLY COMPLETED ACTIONS FOR REVIEW
	Develop and review current action plan from corporate group objectives plan
	Elected member training as part of the induction process following elections
	Update report to SLT on Child Safeguarding arrangements at county level
Vulnerability	Compliance /Legislation
	Reputation
	Financial
	Resourcing
	Partnerships
	Governance
	Vulnerable persons

Trigger/Event	Reduction of Managers means that relevant officers may not be available
	Lack of Training
	Turnover of staff resulting in loss of continuity
	Failure to identify a serious case
	Resurrection of a historic case
	Lack of resources to respond to the safeguarding process (identification through to investigation
	and report)
	Inappropriate response to a case
	Lack of corporate awareness and culture (members and officers)
	lack of communication / Partnership failure
	Non adherence to corporate policy, not escalating and not reporting
Impact	Foreseeable and avoidable harm is suffered by a vulnerable person
	Serious case review by Nottinghamshire safeguarding board
	Litigation (criminal and civil)
	Disruption caused by targeting by group/individual
	Possible effect on future insurance costs depending on liability and claims history
	Personal Liability
	Staff Morale
	Radicalisation
	Reputational damage with community e.g. negative media
	Resourcing any additional works
	Child exploitation, domestic abuse, radicalisation, modern day slavery
	Organisational abuse (e.g. older people in care homes)

Code & Title	SR104 Failure to deliver growth infrastructure		Owner	Lamb, Matt (SLT)	
Description	Facilitating the provision of local infrastructure to ensure growth within the district to meet agreed plans & corporate priorities		Assigned To	Business Manager (BM – HSR); Hughes Lisa (BM – PDV); Norton, Matthew (BM – PPO)	
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Reviewed Date	Target Date	Management
Cikelihood Likelihood Impact	Do di la constanta di la const	Impact	20-Jul-2020		Control Pending

Controls/Actions	Community Plan				
In Place	Infrastructure delivery plan				
	CIL charging schedule and infrastructure list				
	Development plan (Amended Core Strategy and Allocations and Development Management DPD)				
	Local Development Framework Task Group				
	Economic Development Committee and Full Council				
	Planning Committee				
	High performing planning service				
	Active Lobbying				
	Engagement with Developers, Stakeholders & Partners				
	Economic Growth Strategy				
Vulnerability	Inability to deliver infrastructure to support growth				
	Political				
	Reputation				
	Financial				
	Partners and stakeholders				
Trigger/Event	Government change in policy				
	Government funding withdrawn				
	Funding reduced				
	Funding bid failure				
	Housing development stalls				
	Change in leadership				
	Poor strategic decision making				
	Change in partnership priorities				
	Withdrawal of Partners				
	Failure of other related major infrastructure projects				
Impact	Infrastructure not delivered				
	Inappropriate infrastructure delivered				
	Growth within district affected				
	Inadequate service provision				
	Government sanctions for inability to deliver housing growth				
	Housing development stalls				
	Social Inequality				

Large Employer relocating outside district
Inward investment stalls

Code & Title	SR105 Contract/supply failure		Owner	Johnson, Deb (BM – TRC); Cook, Natalie (BM_TRC)	
	Managing contracts with key suppliers, including NSDC wholly own companies, to ensure the continued delivery of an effective service and ensure delivery of the council's priorities and objectives.		Assigned To	Wilson, Nick (BM – FIN); Business Manager (BM – HSR); Batty, Alan (BM – PPR)	
Original Risk	Current Risk Target Risk Matrix		Reviewed Date	Target Date	Management
Matrix	Matrix				
Like Like Impact	Likelihood	Likelihood Likelihood Likelihood Likelihood	28-Sep-2020	31-Mar-2020	Control Pending

Controls/Actions In Place	<ul> <li>CONTRACT INCEPTION &amp; MANAGEMENT</li> <li>Contract register developed using Pro-Contract and actively managed by OD team (not fully populated or embedded yet see action)</li> <li>Contact renewal early warning provided by OD at quarterly meetings</li> <li>Procurement advice provided through Welland procurement</li> <li>Call off contract arrangements/template devised</li> <li>SLA template devised for consistency</li> <li>SLAs all reviewed</li> <li>SLA register devised and actively managed by OD</li> <li>Comprehensive audit undertaken of contracts</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>WHOLLY OWNED COMPANIES</li> <li>Management agreements regularly reviewed</li> <li>Contract managers named for each</li> <li>Regular contract management meetings in place</li> <li>Active partnership approach embedded</li> </ul>
	TRAINING         .       Session delivered to BMs on contract management         .       Session delivered to members on contract management         RECENTLY COMPLETED ACTIONS FOR REVIEW         Legal advice on developing contracet templates
Vulnerability	Service delivery Financial Compliance (Regulatory, Health & Safety, Legislative) Governance Resources Reputational

Trigger/Event	CONTRACT INCEPTION							
	. Lack of understanding of requirements and different provision mechanisms available							
	. Lack of commercial approach and knowledge							
	. Inadequate/ambiguous specification							
	. Inadequate/ambiguous control/performance measures							
	Inadequate/ambiguous exit arrangements							
	. Failure to engage relevant specialists in contract design							
	. Contract is not signed and saved in corporate register							
	. Limited market supply							
	. Over reliance on single supplier(s)							
	. Lack of competence in procurement							
	. Lack of resource dedicated to procurement							
	. Lack of preplanning for contracts							
	. Lack of appropriate exit strategies							
	CONTRACT MANAGEMENT							
	. No assigned contract manager							
	. Contract manager is not appropriately trained/skilled							
	. Contract manager resource is insufficient							
	. Ineffective performance monitoring and reviews							
	. Evergreen contracts in place							
	. Change control/variations are not appropriately managed							
	. Lack of ongoing challenge throughout the contract							
	. Loss of key personnel/ key resilience							
	. Relationship breakdown							
	. Contractor fails to deliver/ isn't able to deliver (bankruptcy)							
	WHOLLY OWNED COMPANIES							
	. Management agreement not robust							
	. Governance and oversight not appropriate							
	<ul> <li>Inadequate/ambiguous governance arrangement</li> <li>Contract management resource is insufficient/ unsuitable</li> </ul>							
	. Inadequate/ambiguous control/performance measures							
	. Relationship breakdown and – objectives do not align							
	. Lack of ongoing challenge throughout the contract							
	. Board directors do not perform within required parameters							
	. Lack of appropriate review of purpose of contract							
	OTHER							
	. Financial management not embedded as part of contract management process							
	. Impact of Brexit (link to STRAT SR012 Brexit)							
	Business continuity/Emergency incident							
	. Contracts not entered on contract register							
	Provision commences before contract is in place							
	. Lack of appropriate overview of contract management							
	. Pandemic							

	. Link to SR106 – Workforce
Impact	FINANCIAL IMPACT
	. Additional costs to council (hidden costs, increased costs)
	. Best value not achieved
	. Fines
	SERVICE DELIVERY IMPACT
	. Provision is not timely/delayed
	. Poor/declining quality of service/provision
	. Increased unplanned demand
	. Inability to scale up/scale down provision to meet demand
	. Service failure
	. Not aligned to corporate objectives
	LEGISLATIVE IMPACT
	. Data loss/GDPR compromised
	. Council's legislative obligations not met
	. Providers are not able to be challenged as contract not in place when service is
	commenced
	REPUTATIONAL IMPACT
	. Customer/service user complaints increase
	. Member complaints increase
	. Negative media coverage
	RESOURCE
	. Contract manager resource requirement is increased (leading to impact on other duties)
	. Other officer resource required to manage impacts (leading to impact on other duties)

Code & Title	SR106 Workforce		Owner	White, Karen (SLT)	
Description	Ensuring the council is able to recruit, maintain and retain appropriate staffing resource to ensure it is able to deliver its services and meet its corporate objectives.		Assigned To	Glass, Caroline (BM – HR & Training)	
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Reviewed Date	Target Date	Management
Likelihood Impact	Impact	Impact	18–Dec–2019	31-Mar-2020	Controlled

Controls/Actions In Place	Business Managers have individual development plans to help them manage change and risk. Senior HR Officers provide support to Business Managers to manage staffing issues, e.g. sickness absence, capability etc. Effective communication arrangements are in place. Rolling programme of review for HR policies to ensure they remain robust and fit for purpose. Selima system provides Business Managers with ownership / control over staff sickness/Holiday approval etc. and provides corporate overview HR working closely with Business Managers to support organisational change. Partnership approach with recognised trade unions to support organisational change. Counselling/therapy and welfare support services in place for staff. Visible leadership. Annual employee planning process. Training and development programme to support ongoing development of skills and competencies and BM and other staff change management such as recruitment. Review of training requirements linked to appraisal process to ensure that appropriate development is sourced and implemented for staff to include facilitation of succession management. Incentivised terms and conditions, e.g. agile and flexible working Use of Apprenticeships to support service areas and support succession management. Approved corporate priorities within the Community Plan 2019–23 Annual financial planning Project team and plan in place for housing implementation
Vulnerability	Service delivery and resources Financial Compliance Governance Reputational Competence and Capability Leadership
Trigger/Event	Key staff leaving e.g. with specific qualifications and/or experience and membership of professional body Number of staff leaving from one area/high turnover Inability to recruitment to key posts Lack of development opportunity

	Lack of team cohesion			
	Lack of alignment with corporate values/behaviours/culture			
	Pressure of work			
	Demand in a specific skill set			
	Uncompetitive in the job market place			
	Poor industrial relations			
	Working environment			
	Key member of staff goes on long term sick			
	Organisational restructure			
Impact	Service delivery impact			
	Reputational impact through poor service			
	Reputation as an employer resulting in inability to recruit staff			
	Loss of capacity/under resourced			
	Loss of expertise and corporate memory			
	High recruitment costs			
	Additional time required to support introduction of new staff and development thereof			
	Additional training costs			
	Impact on morale, culture and team performance			
	Increased levels of staff absence			
	Loss of opportunity through loss of networks			
	Inability to operate in accordance with statutory requirements			
	Increase in accidents			
	Impact of potential civil claim (e.g. employment tribunal. insurance) or criminal actions			
	Increased demand on corporate services (e.g. HR)			
Impact	Reputational impact through poor service Reputation as an employer resulting in inability to recruit staff Loss of capacity/under resourced Loss of expertise and corporate memory High recruitment costs Additional time required to support introduction of new staff and development thereof Additional training costs Impact on morale, culture and team performance Increased levels of staff absence Loss of opportunity through loss of networks Inability to operate in accordance with statutory requirements Increase in accidents Impact of potential civil claim (e.g. employment tribunal. insurance) or criminal actions			

Code & Title	SR107 Loss of community cohesion		Owner	Finch, Matthew (SLT)	
Description	The risk of vulnerable communities with NSDC feeling disengaged, excluded or being unable to access available services and opportunities.		Assigned To	Baker, Jill (BM – CSC); Monger, Leanne (BM – HHC); Batty, Alan (BM – PPR)	
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Reviewed Date	Target Date	Management
Likelihood Impact	bo uiia iiii impact	Like Handler Like Handler Handler Like Handler	09-Dec-2019	31-Mar-2020	Control Pending

Controls/Actions	Diversionary activities
In Place	Days of Action
	Development of community database
	Residents surveys
	Diverting resources into vulnerable communities
	Supporting national initiatives, e.g. Hate Crime Awareness Week
	Community Engagement Strategy
	Actions within the Community Plan working around vulnerable communities
	Place based community relations.
	Cultural orientation training
	LMAPS (local multi agency partnership)
	Community Safety Partnership Work
	Severe weather and new winter shelter.
	Tolney Lane working group.
Vulnerability	Disengagement
	Partners and stakeholders
	Reputation
	Community leadership
	Vulnerable persons
Trigger/Event	Lack of understanding of communities' needs and consequent actions
	Not meeting expectations of communities
	New unforeseen incident or situation
	Poor judgement in making decisions
	Loss of key partner in delivering key service
	Change in partners funding/delivery priorities
	Unilateral decisions by partners
	National decision or event
	Internal community issue
	Lack of engagement with key community leaders outside of democratically elected
	Non representative community leader
	Withdrawal or reduction of services
Impact	Diversion of resources to address problems
	Isolation of vulnerable groups
	Loss of confidence in the council and other agencies

Reputation	
Engaging with the wrong people	
Physical unrest	
Civil disobedience	
Divided communities	
Economic loss	
Hate Crime	

Code & Title	SR108 Emergency response		Owner	Finch, Matthew (SL <sup>-</sup>	Г)
Description	The Council's ability to effectively respond as a category 1 responder to a major emergency and maintain a suitable response without affecting essential service delivery.		Assigned To	Richardson, Dave (BM – ICT); Batty, Alan (BM – PPR)	
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Reviewed Date	Target Date	Management
Likelihood Impact	Impact	Impact	18-Dec-2019		Control Pending

Controls/Actions	LEAD OFFICER – Matthew Finch
In Place	Emergency Plan/ Business Continuity
	Emergency plans in place and securely stored on Resilience Direct
	Incident specific emergency plans in place.
	The plan is regularly reviewed and tested.
	Periodic review of key services by EPO e.g. Emergency Planning and Business Continuity.
	Management shows support for BCM through regular discussions in key meetings. Business
	Continuity is a regular agenda item.
	Management shows support to appropriately prepare, maintain and exercise a Business
	Continuity Plan by assigning adequate resources, people and budgeted funds.
	Periodic review of documents e.g. Emergency Plan, Business Continuity Plan.
	Business Continuity Audit Review.
	There is a Business Continuity Management (BCM) Policy and it is updated periodically
	Managers and staff have been made aware of BCP and BCM and their responsibilities.
	Managers have been consulted in developing the plan and key individuals who input is more
	important than others have been identified.
	The Business Continuity Plan identifies all critical areas of the authority
	There is an up to date Business Continuity Plan.
	<u>Financial</u>
	Corporate budget available to support flood alleviation schemes.
	Council maintaining budget figure and reserve up to Belwin amount to cover emergency
	incidents.
	Facilitation of government grant scheme in flood affected areas to enhance future resilience.
	Equipment/ Resources
	Flood stores in some communities with provisions to self help and therefore not be as reliant on
	council.
	Agile working arrangements.
	Cyber security arrangements
	Use and Audit of Resilience Direct as a Document Management System/repository
	Load key documents onto Resilience Direct
	Annual stock take of equipment in our Emergency Stores.
	exercise carried out gap analysis of the testing.

	<ul> <li>Training &amp; LRF Training</li> <li>Improved understanding of snow implications and therefore better plans in place.</li> <li>Memorandum of Understanding for mutual aid.</li> <li>Local Resilience Forum and annual risk assessment.</li> <li>Produced BCP BCM training timetable</li> <li>Offering of Health &amp; Wellbeing advice e.g. offering flu vaccinations</li> <li>Partners/Public</li> <li>Work with EA (Environment Agency) on flood resilience work has mitigated/reduced the risk in some areas.</li> <li>Improved monitoring systems by EA for earlier warning for floods.</li> <li>Raised awareness of flood risk, therefore increased understanding self help and increasing resilience.</li> <li>Develop emergency response management tool i.e. Meritech (AB)</li> <li>Deliver One off workshop for BMs on BCP</li> <li>Implement the training of BCP &amp; BCM and general training for all staff – Production of induction training package</li> <li>RECENTLY COMPLETED ACTIONS FOR REVIEW</li> <li>Identify users of the emergency response managament tool (Meritech) and delivery.</li> <li>Meritech emergency planning module system training and testing</li> <li>Provide initial report to SLT re: Business Impact Analysis carried out</li> <li>Report to SLT re updated emergency plan to be</li> </ul>
	SLT to decide how to deal with allowing JDs to be amended (including their own) to include an explicit responsibility for BC Promotion to LRF of 20 ways in 30 days in the Notts area Testing to take place of the Control Room at Castle House
Vulnerability	Resourcing Reputation Expectations Partners Financial Suitability of response Service delivery
Trigger/Event	Extreme weather event of greater frequency and severity. Unpredictable district/regional/national emergency events. Council headquarters or key facilities are damaged. Pandemic Flu Cyber Attack Counter terrorist Attack Partners not playing part/full role Failure of resources / suppliers / supply chain Availability and resilience of key personnel A "no deal" Brexit (further information see Strategic Risk Brexit) Insufficient time given to BMs/SLT to deliver appropriately considered BCPs
Impact	Drain on services and resources to provide an immediate and appropriate response. Managing and resourcing the longer term recovery process

Business Continuity issues at Castle House and other council facilities – centres could be flooded,
staff unable to get into work - loss of resources i.e. vehicles, premises.
Reputational damage due to perceived failure to respond to emergency or maintain services
Reputational damage to the District and attraction to place to live/work
Failure to maintain critical services day to day
Manage financial requirements of the emergency event
Financial issues of being unable to claim back funding spent on assisting communities
Financial implication of up front costs required during an emergency.
Less likely to receive long term support to obtain government flood grants compared with high
density areas due to cost benefit ratio.
Effect on communities (commercial or domestic)
Loss of key/ critical systems
Inability to support critical projects and projects at a critical stage

Code & Title	SR109 Corporate governance		Owner	White, Karen (SLT)	
Description	governance within owned/influenced	isk of failure in systems of overnance within the council, council wned/influenced organisations and artnerships or other collaborative		Hill, Nigel (BM – EDS); Wilson, Nick (BM – FIN)	
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Reviewed Date	Target Date	Management
Likelihood Impact	Impact	Clikelihood Impact	27–Dec–2019		Controlled

Controls/Actions	
In Place	LEAD OFFICER - Karen White
	Code of corporate governance created, maintained and monitored in accordance with CIPFA
	guidance.
	. Corporate Governance self-assessment against the code of Corporate Governance undertaken
	periodically.
	. Periodic review of governance by 3 statutory officers.
	Annual review of Constitution which includes fit for purpose and up to date: . Officer code of conduct.
	. Officer registers of interests - Related third party transactions.
	. Section 151 officer/Monitoring officer/Head of Paid Service.
	. Gifts and hospitality - policy and register place.
	. Council Financial regulations and procedures,
	. Contract procedure rules
	. Whistle blowing policy
	. Anti-fraud and corruptions strategy
	Annual governance statement reviewed annually and reported to Audit and Accounts Committee.
	Annual Governance Statement goes to Feb meeting of Committee
	Creation of annual combined assurance report in conjunction with SLT and BMs.
	Internal Audit work including risk based Audit Plan.
	Effective use of External Auditor.
	Scrutiny by Audit and Accounts Committee
	Staff and member training in place
	. Training on governance issues including anti-fraud and financial regulations.
	. Counter fraud training delivered.
	. Member induction at the start of each new Council cycle.
	Complaints:
	. Localised standards framework and effective arrangements for dealing with complaints overseen
	by Policy and Finance Committee.

<ul> <li>Internal complaints procedure.</li> <li>Fraud         <ul> <li>Annual internal review of the Fraud Risk register to carry out proactive work, check on internal controls and is reported to members             <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ul>
<ul> <li>Annual internal review of the Fraud Risk register to carry out proactive work, check on internal controls and is reported to members         <ul> <li>Participation with National Fraud Initiative process</li> <li>Options appraisal for counter fraud and implementation of preferred option.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Appropriate insurance cover including Fidelity Guarantee.</li> <li>Oversight of Active4Today, Newark and Sherwood Homes, Arkwood and East Midlands Building Control.</li> <li>Appropriate monitoring of performance of the third party or alternative service delivery methods.</li> <li>HR policies in place</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>controls and is reported to members <ul> <li>Participation with National Fraud Initiative process</li> <li>Options appraisal for counter fraud and implementation of preferred option.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Appropriate insurance cover including Fidelity Guarantee.</li> <li>Oversight of Active4Today, Newark and Sherwood Homes, Arkwood and East Midlands Building Control. <ul> <li>Appropriate monitoring of performance of the third party or alternative service delivery methods.</li> <li>HR policies in place</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Participation with National Fraud Initiative process</li> <li>Options appraisal for counter fraud and implementation of preferred option.</li> <li>Appropriate insurance cover including Fidelity Guarantee.</li> <li>Oversight of Active4Today, Newark and Sherwood Homes, Arkwood and East Midlands Building Control.</li> <li>Appropriate monitoring of performance of the third party or alternative service delivery methods.</li> <li>HR policies in place</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Options appraisal for counter fraud and implementation of preferred option.</li> <li>Appropriate insurance cover including Fidelity Guarantee.</li> <li>Oversight of Active4Today, Newark and Sherwood Homes, Arkwood and East Midlands Building Control.</li> <li>Appropriate monitoring of performance of the third party or alternative service delivery methods.</li> <li>HR policies in place</li> </ul>
Appropriate insurance cover including Fidelity Guarantee. Oversight of Active4Today, Newark and Sherwood Homes, Arkwood and East Midlands Building Control. . Appropriate monitoring of performance of the third party or alternative service delivery methods. HR policies in place
Oversight of Active4Today, Newark and Sherwood Homes, Arkwood and East Midlands Building Control. . Appropriate monitoring of performance of the third party or alternative service delivery methods. HR policies in place
Control. . Appropriate monitoring of performance of the third party or alternative service delivery methods. HR policies in place
methods. HR policies in place
Horizon scanning at Business Manager briefings and effective communication between SLT and business managers.
Measures in place to ensure IR35 compliance Schedules review of Corporate Governance (Q4 19/20
Governance review ongoing with support from LGA
Vulnerability Service delivery
Governance
Fraud
Poor decision making/leadership
Reputation
Financial Logal compliance
Legal compliance Partners/stakeholders
Trigger/Event       Failure to communicate, define, review and uphold governance standards policies to ensure
fitness for purpose.
Failure of staff and councillors to understand their governance roles and responsibilities.
Failure to observe good governance.
Failure to adequately manage risk or monitor performance.
Failure in Policy adherence (All policies).
Malicious event eg. Fraud, money laundering, etc. Reduction in capacity and loss of key personnel and resources
Failure to adequately oversee governance standards of partnerships and other entities that the
Council is involved in.
Failure of governance in partnership organisations
Impact Financial resource loss.
Service delivery issues.
Criminal or civil liability.
Government or peer intervention.

Reputational risk to the Council.
Negative media coverage.
Policies could be open to challenge.
Excessive legal costs incurred.
Poor or inadequate decision making.
Poor staff morale.
High staff turnover.
Regulator finding fault eg. Internal Audit, External Audit, Ombudsman.
Community disengagement.
Capacity redirected to address failures.
Loss of opportunity and ability to meet corporate priorities
Inappropriate use
Fraud and corrupt practice identified.
Fraud and corruption practices not identified or dealt with leading to an incident of fraud and
corruption.
Failure of partnerships

Code & Title	SR110 Data management and security		Owner	Kohli, Sanjiv (SLT)	
Description	Deliberate or unintentional loss/disclosure of personal, sensitive, confidential, business critical information or breach of information governance legislation				
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Reviewed Date	Target Date	Management
Likelihood Impact	Pool	Like Hood	24-Aug-2020	31-Mar-2020	Control Pending

Controls/Actions In Place	LEAD OFFICER – Sanjiv Kohli
	Training/ Guidance/ Compliance/ Agreements
	Data protection training including a section on information security and targeted training ongoing
	for staff located elsewhere and forms part of the induction process.
	Guidance and training available for elected members. 3 GDPR sessions provided for newly elected members.
	Guidance on security breach procedures for Business Managers as Information Asset Owners.
	Ongoing training for all staff taking payments in line with PCI-DSS requirements.
	Relevant training for ICT staff.
	Weekly review of ICO guidance.
	Compliance with the governments security arrangements.
	PSN compliant data & internet connections implemented.
	Compliance with new Cabinet Office email standards achieved.
	Information governance check on furniture that is being disposed of.
	Information E Training completed by all staff.
	Cyber Security now standing agenda item on monthly business unit management meetings.
	Annual review of Information Asset Register.
	Annual mandatory GDPR and cyber/phising online training for all staff and councillors.
	Governance arrangements established through CIGG with monthly review.
	ICT/Equipment
	External Audit on ICT security annually.
	Encryption for mobile devices.
	VASCO tokens and Google Authenticator.
	Quarterly ICT security checks internally.
	Penetration test annually for external company – monthly scans of servers for weaknesses,
	monthly server updates and monthly scans of Microsoft Office and Windows.
	Perimeter software – eg. mailmarshall & webmarshall.
	Hardening test on new virtual servers.
	Documents scanned reducing the need for paper.
	Secure server room.
	East Midlands WARP membership - alerting networking facility regarding any breaches.

	Monthly updates of Adobe products.
	Program in place to ensure the continual maintenance & upgrade of the ICT environment.
	Secure portal for Members to access the Extranet. Airwatch MDM (Mobile Device Management) implementation for mobile devices.
	DMark, DKim SPF and TLS secure email authentication software.
	Cryptshare for encrypting secure emails and large files for email.
	Report & record all cyber-attacks/attempts and escalate to CMT where appropriate Users own
	devices cannot connect to network
	'Consent' tick box on appropriate forms.
	Policies/Audit
	Information management framework incorporating Security Policy and Security Breach Policy.
	Annual SIRO audit.
	Retention of document policy.
	Data Protection policy.
	Confidential waste handling procedure.
	Review of policies and procedures to ensure compliance with latest Payment Card Industry- Data Security Standard (PCI-DSS)
	Data security part of consideration as part of future strategic projects.
	All aspects of GDPR action plan completed including compulsory e-learning for all staff.
	SIRO audit completed confirming processes in place for identifying risks associated with any new
	project/process.
	CIGG meeting every two months to review any new risks.
	Periodic PCI/DSS compliance checks - see Corporate risk for further details.
	Data Privacy Impact Assessment.
	Partners/ Stakeholders/ Staff
	Non-disclosure agreements in place for third party access.
	Use of data processing and agreements with partners.
	CIO/SIRO/DPO appointed.
	CIGG in place.
	Use of licensed Confidential waste handler.
	Letters sent to all third parties who process personal data on behalf of NSDC advising of
	additional responsibilities under GDPR and data processing agreements in place.
	Actions arising from report to SLT on third party users implemented.
	RECENTLY COMPLETED ACTIONS FOR REVIEW
	Developing Comms strategy to deal with a data breach
Vulnerability	Loss of vulnerable, personal, sensitive valuable data
	Legal compliance
	Reputation
	Financial
	Partners/stakeholders
	Disruption of service from a cyber attack
Trigger/Event	Personal, confidential or corporately sensitive/business critical information disclosed
	unintentionally or through error of judgement when responding to requests for information, data
	breach – intentional (malicious) or unintentional.
	Theft or loss of equipment/papers/data belonging to the council, partners or third party
	companies.

	Cyber attack: (either targeted such as denial of service or unintentional access to link on another website.
	Agile Working ie. mobile/remote/home working/home printing/disposal of printed data/ /Outreach posts.
	Reducing resources with less capacity for processing data.
	Collaborative working, sharing, outsourcing and partnership working (including external printing
	and hybrid mail)/involvement in other peoples' data Use of BYOD (Bring your own device).
	Government integration agenda e.g. Increased working between public bodies Use of
	suppliers/third parties, etc.
	Decommissioning of property.
	Partnership working and sharing new service locations/data sharing issues.
	Partner's/contractor's/host's poor data management and information security leading to data
	breach/loss.
	Devolution/change in service delivery model.
	Loss of key resources.
	Third party access to IT systems.
	New and inexperienced staff/elected members with access to data.
	Termination of PSN/GCSX standards by the Cabinet Office limiting options for securely sharing
	with some Public Sector organisations.
	Failure to comply with relevant standards and legislation including PCI-DSS/Cyber
	Essentials/NCSC best practice/PSN.
	Unsupported software/unforseen loss of support
	Housing management transfer
	Adoption of unsupported/dated systems from third parties
Impact	Individual loss/damage to an individual where the Council inappropriately released their personal
	data eg. civil claims.
	Damage to reputation of the Council/trust by the public.
	Breach of Access to Information legislation bringing about financial/legal damage – imposed on
	the Council by the Information Commissioner and other Statutory Bodies.
	Financial/ resource impact of Information Commissioner investigation.
	Disciplinary action taken against a member of staff and elected members if a breach is found to
	be deliberate/malicious.
	Operational and resource issues eg. service interruption – where focus has to be taken away from
	service delivery to dealing with the breach.
	Individual loss/damage to an individual where the Council inappropriately released their personal
	data eg. civil claims.
	Drain on resources to process and enable conformity in legislation.
	Greater demand on existing resource
	Impact on Agile working – lack of ability to work remotely and available physical resource
	Cyber attack leading to system downtime/damage/loss of data (Ransom Ware) and financial loss/
	resource drain
	Loss of provision to customers and partners eg. NSH, Active4Today, DWP, CCTV (under current
	arrangements) leading to disputes over SLAs and contracts and potential loss of income, e.g.
	partner rent for Castle House.
	Negative media coverage
	Less control over data as service delivery models become more complex
	Loss of partner data where the council is the data processor – subsequent impact on partner's
	reputation. Loss of confidence with the Council

	Loss of confidence with partners and stakeholders
	Withdrawal of service from partners and stakeholder
	Increased demand on existing services

Code & Title	SR111 Arkwood Development		Owner	White, Karen (SLT)	
Description	Managing performance and the relationship between the Council and Arkwood Developments in accordance with the governance agreement.		Assigned To	Wilson, Nick (BM –	FIN)
Original Risk Matrix	Current Risk Matrix	Target Risk Matrix	Reviewed Date	Target Date	Management
Likelihood Impact	Po elie Impact	Like Hood	08–Oct–2019		Controlled

Controls/Actions	LEAD OFFICER - Karen.		
In Place	Support: N Cook		
III FIACE	Shareholder Committee established and membership agreed Shareholder meetings scheduled Shareholder Committee Members training session completed on 17/1/18 Company's Articles and shareholder agreement in place Shareholder Committee approve business plan for company and business case for each development site Monthly officer contract meetings between Arkwood and council Internal Audit Report giving substantial assurance Inclusion of risk register by company with business cases <b>RECENTLY COMPLETED ACTIONS FOR REVIEW</b>		
	SLAs for HR, Finance, ICT, Information Governance and Administration to be agreed between		
	company and council by end of financial year 19/20.		
Vulnerability	Financial Reputation Service Delivery Conflict of interest		
Trigger/Event	<u>Financial</u> Loan repayments from the company are not made Company failure to deliver approved Business Plan Company failure to pay monies to the Council e.g. land transactions costs, SLA costs Company failure to pay monies in a timely way Company failure to manage cash flow		
	Reputational Poor leadership Company insolvency Poor quality development leading to customer dissatisfaction Secretary of State call in planning decisions made by council on company developments <u>Compliance Issues</u> Operational failure leading to non compliance/legislative failure		

	Compliance failure by the Company (ie. legislative & policy)
	Lack of Delivery
	Failure to adhere to business plan
	Lack of awareness of company purpose
	Company failure to secure planning consent on developments
	Market & External Factors
	Brexit – market volatility
	Downturn in economy
	Failure to understand and develop to meet market needs
	Changes in local and national policy & legislation e.g. restraints in legislation from Council
	Lack of skills to deliver developments to quality and on time (e.g. skills shortage)
	Market and external construction prices leading to increased cost of build
	Resource Demand
	Insufficient resourcing (e.g. internal capacity to deliver)
	Over resourcing
	Incorrect or over demanding SLA's
	Failure to deliver Council objectives due to increased amount of time spent by board of directors
	on company rather than substantive role
	Relationship with Company
	Lack of communication between Company and Council
	Lack of regular updates/ assurance from company
	Conflict of interest – failure of the council to demonstrate the company's independence
Impact	<u>Financial</u>
	Return on investment to the council not realised
	Lack of sustainability and viability of company
	Council not achieving aims & objectives as set out in Council's Commercial strategy
	Resource Demand
	Substantive council roles of directors compromised
	Council resource overstretched – poor quality service to council or company
	Reputational
	Adverse impact on reputation
	Inability to contribute to local plan housing numbers
	Impact on reputation with key partners and stakeholders
	Market & External Factors
	Lack of housing that meets market demand
	Partners/Relationships
	Relationship breakdown between council and company

Code & Title	SR112 Brexit		Owner	Finch, Matthew (SL <sup>-</sup>	Г)
Description			Assigned To	Monger, Leanne (Bl	on, Nick (BM – FIN); M – HHC); Business ); Richardson, Dave Matthew (BM – M – PPR); Ward, <del>rshall, Kate 1</del>
Original Risk	Current Risk	Target Risk Matrix	Reviewed Date	Target Date	Management
Matrix	Matrix				
Cikelihood Likelihood	Cikeji Pood Impact	Like Inpact	18-Dec-2019		Controlled

Controls/Actions	LEAD OFFICER – M Finch
In Place	Horizon scanning - look at the key messages relating to Brexit from Central government.
	Quarterly update reviews with BM's to update a register of risks and associated mitigating actions
	to be fed into the strategic risk and maintained in risk log.
	Update Reports to SLT.
	Plans in place to manage impact on the Lorry Park
	Market trend analysis report developed to highlight differences between East and West regions of
	NSDC and reporting to Growth and Prosperity meetings.
	Communications plan informing media responses
	Responsive risk review in light of central government information.
	Full participation and engagement with the Local Resilience Forum.
	Full participation and engagement with LRF
	Monitor Risk log quarterly with BM's
	Responsive risk review in light of central government info
	Develop market trend analysis report to highlight differences between East and West regions of
	NSDC (quarterly). Agree key indices to report to Growth & Prosperity meetings to inform a joined up strategic approach around "Prosperity" )
	Updates to be provided by CMT following attendance at conferences (e.g. Solace) regarding implications of Brexit (CMT)
	Working more closely with NFU to understand implications of Brexit, e.g staffing/funding (JRS)
	SLT have discussed details and considered wider risks/mitigation
	Communication updates from Food Standards Agency
	Evaluation of data undertaken and no data shared across borders.
Vulnerability	Financial
	Reputation
	Resource demand
	Service delivery
	Communities
Trigger/Event	Economic downturn

	Cost of goods and services increase Lack of investment from businesses in the lead up to Brexit due to uncertainty in the economy Weak currency due to 'drawn out negotiations of Brexit'
	<u>Regulatory impact</u> New legislation/guidance that will be enforced as part of Brexit will cause major delays
	<u>Financial Implications</u> Lack of funding for initiatives which would previously have qualified for EU funding
	<u>Uncertainty</u> Brexit negotiations resulting in uncertainty (e.g. No Deal) Delaying Article 50 leading to uncertainty
	Leadership contest causing further delay on outcome of Brexit
	<u>Reputational</u> Lack of planning
	<u>Resource Demand</u> Lack of resource (financial and staffing) to maintain services resulting from Brexit
	<u>Service Delivery</u> Lack of awareness of the implications of any Brexit scenario (not sharing crucial data internally) Lack of business continuity planning for any Brexit scenario Delays in announcements on wider Domestic policies such as social care, public transport,
	homelessness, waste strategy due to Brexit negotiations still ongoing Cyber attack
	Protests and other actions planned Further electoral activity
Impact	<u>Economic Development</u> Cost of living - food prices higher than the price of inflation. Businesses that are offering offers are not making profits.
	House prices increases as cost of materials increase making housing unaffordable Increase in zero hours contracts
	<u>Uncertainty</u> Civil Unrest
	Long extension to negotiations may cause local unrest
	Financial Implications
	Certain initiatives funded by the EU will no longer continue Impact on farming/agriculture (Funding)
	Impact on HRA development programme
	Increased use of temporary accommodation and possible legal action to remove households who may no longer qualify for access to the service
	Additional training required to ensure staff are up to speed with any legal changes following Brexit (e.g. changes in eligibility criteria for housing)

Service Delivery
Increase in homelessness
Increase in those relying on universal credit
Legislative changes impact heavily on how the waste management service is delivered
Blocades may result in lorry park experiencing more demand
Potential for fuel shortages
Increase in negative decisions and households no longer eligible for services
Resource Demand
Lack of workforce in specific roles such as social care, service industry
Skills shortage in key industries
Multi-tier eligibility assessments required of individuals from EEA depending on status and arrival
date in UK
Increase in referrals to county council for households no longer eligible for public services
Re-assessment of allocations scheme and eligibility criteria
Impact on residents
Potential for unrest and increase in hate crime
Demographic changes due to shifts in immigration and migration
Democratic implications
Lack of engagement